



Effect of Oil Pulling on some Health Problems of the Female Students in Kuwait University

Dr. Nedaa A. AL-Khamees*

Abstract:

Purpose: To test the ability of oil pulling to improve existent health conditions in a female student population.

Methods: Questionnaires designed to elicit currently-experienced health problems suffered were completed by 250 subjects before and after up to three months of oil pulling and by 102 control subjects at equivalent times. For analysis, the various health problems were grouped into 'skin related problems', 'neurological related problems', 'digestive related problems', 'mouth related problems', 'respiratory related problems', 'bone related problems', and 'other' problems.

Findings: Subjects recorded a large number of symptoms and conditions. Eighty-four percent of sufferers reported a benefit of oil pulling. For all of the grouped categories except 'respiratory' and 'other', the mean reduction in suffering was significantly greater (at the 0.001 level) for experimental subjects versus control subjects. One hundred and eight one (72.4%) of subjects reported adverse effects, but these vanished after a few days in 150 (82.9%).

Research Limitations: Subjects were entirely female university students; results may be different for other groups. Many of the symptoms and conditions reported were rather non-specific, can show considerable temporal variation, and were not verified clinically.

Practical implications: Oil pulling may be useful in maintaining oral health and, therefore, general health. A judgment on what emphasis, if any, it deserves in oral health education awaits further research, but at least in countries such as India, where there is a long tradition of its use, it may well be presented as an alternative to the usual recommendations.

Originality: This appears to be the first scientific survey of its kind.

* Curriculum and Instruction Department, College of Education, Kuwait University, Kuwait.

Introduction

Despite worldwide efforts in educating people in oral hygiene, using and emphasizing mainly tooth brushing and flossing, globally oral infections including tooth decay and periodontitis remain probably the most common infection. This fact assumes more and more importance with ever increasing reports of linkages between oral disease and systemic disease.

This is especially true of periodontitis (Otomo-Corgel et al, 2012). Three mechanisms for this linkage have been proposed: metastatic spread of infections from the oral cavity as a result of transient bacteremia, metastatic injury from the effects of circulating oral microbial toxins, and metastatic inflammation caused by immunological injury induced by oral microorganisms (Thoden van Velzen et al, 1984; Okuda and Ebihara, 1998; Han and Wang, 2013; van Dyke and van Winkelhoff, 2013). Numerous studies support an association between periodontitis and cardiovascular disease (Humphrey et al, 2008; Cullinan and Seymour, 2013). Schenkein and Loos (2013) reviewed inflammatory mechanisms linking periodontal diseases to cardiovascular diseases and concluded that such mechanisms may be thought to act in concert to increase systemic inflammation in periodontal disease and to promote or exacerbate atherogenesis but that proof that the increase in systemic inflammation attributable to periodontitis impacts inflammatory responses during atheroma development, thrombotic events or myocardial infarction or stroke is lacking.

There is good evidence that improved oral hygiene and frequent professional oral health care reduce the progression or occurrence of respiratory disease among high-risk adults, and fair evidence of an association of pneumonia with oral health in others (Azarpazhooh and Leake, 2006). It is likely that pathogens first colonize oral surfaces before aspiration (Li et al, 2000). Azarpazhooh and Leake (2006) admitted poor evidence of a weak association between chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and oral health, but Linden et al (2013) found no studies supporting the association.

Linden et al (2013) found there is little published evidence that periodontitis is a risk factor for rheumatoid arthritis, though Ogrendik (2009) claims an etiological association.

A variety of viruses and bacteria have been implicated in acute asthma exacerbations, but the role of common oral bacteria is unconfirmed (Papadopoulos et al, 2011). In fact, it has been suggested that colonization of the oral cavity by microbes might play a protective role in allergic disease (Arbes et al, 2006).

In one study (Jeffcoat et al, 2003), pregnant women with severe periodontal disease were 7.5 times more likely to go into labor prematurely. Further studies are needed before any relationships between osteoporosis, jaw bone loss and periodontal disease are elucidated (Guiglia, 2013).

On the other hand, diabetes mellitus and periodontitis are definitely interrelated (Pradhan and Goel, 2011; Lalla and Papapanou, 2011; Cullinan and Seymour, 2013). Though Kamer et al (2008) postulated a possible role of periodontal diseases in Alzheimer's disease, Linden et al (2013) found only weak evidence for mild cognitive impairment.

On the other hand, Linden et al (2013) found a definite association between periodontitis and chronic kidney disease.

They also found a modest positive association between obesity and prevalent periodontal disease, but point out that both could be related to unhealthy lifestyle. The evidence for an association of metabolic syndrome with periodontitis is limited to one study (d'Ainto et al, 2008).

Periodontitis has been identified as a possible risk factor for orodigestive and pancreatic cancer and possibly other cancers (Linden et al, 2013).

A study by Sheu and Lin (2013) provided evidence for an association between chronic periodontitis and multiple sclerosis in female, but not male, subjects.

The higher incidence of periodontal disease and caries in patients with Parkinson's disease (Cicciù et al, 2012) is probably due to difficulty of such patients in maintaining oral hygiene.

To date, a direct causal role of periodontal infection in the development and/or progression of systemic diseases is not established (Akshata et al, 2012). It should be remembered that virtually all available data on dental focal infection depends on the fact that

prevalence and incidence of the disease in question is significantly higher in patients with periodontitis than in those without and that several other criteria need to be fulfilled before causal links can be established (Slots, 1998). Further research must be done before the potential for oral infections to cause conditions in other parts of the body can be established.

Nonetheless, the evidence may be great enough to make us further increase our efforts to educate people in methods that will prevent oral infections. Perhaps, too, it is time to consider other simple methods which may be more appropriate in some societies.

Oil pulling has been used extensively as a traditional Indian folk remedy for many years for strengthening teeth, gums, and the jaw and to prevent decay, oral malodor, bleeding gums, dryness of the throat, and cracked lips (Asokan et al, 2009). In Ayurveda, oil pulling is claimed to cure about 30 systemic diseases (Singh and Purohit, 2011).

Fife (2008; p.108) describes the process in detail. Essentially, it consists of swishing a vegetable oil through and around the teeth and around the mouth for 15-20 minutes and then expectorating.

Some studies have suggested that this process may assist in treating gingivitis (Amith et al, 2007; Asokan et al, 2009) and halitosis (Asokan et al, 2011a). Oil pulling has been traditionally used in the treatment of periodontal disease, although the evidence for its usefulness is purely anecdotal (Surathu and Kurumathur, 2011).

In vitro attempts to elucidate possible mechanisms of oil pulling therapy have had mixed results. While DuraiAnand et al (2008) and Thaweboon et al (2011) found some edible oils had some antibacterial properties, Asokan et al (2011b) found they were not antibacterial but did produce emulsification and saponification which could aid mechanical removal of bacteria and films. On the other hand, Hannig et al (2012) found that edible oils did not reduce bacterial colonization of enamel in situ.

The few published studies on the effectiveness of oil pulling in preventing or treating systemic diseases do not meet accepted criteria for scientific evidence (Fife, 2008; pp. 90-92).

This study was designed to test the ability of oil pulling to improve existent health conditions in a female student population.

Materials and Methods

A questionnaire was designed and circulated to students in various classes of the College of Education at Kuwait University and modified in accord with their comments. After the researcher explained the oil pulling method to them, students were invited to participate by completing a questionnaire in which they were queried on various symptoms and conditions from which they might be suffering; carrying out oil pulling until the end of semester (about three months); and completing another questionnaire designed to elucidate any benefits participants felt they had derived from oil pulling. They were asked not to otherwise vary their dental hygiene routine while using oil pulling. After 250 students had been so recruited, another group of 102 students were recruited to act as a control group by completing both questionnaires but not carrying out oil pulling. Both groups consisted entirely of female students and the demographic variables of both groups were substantially similar.

A three level scale (no, little, great) was used for both questionnaires, but for analysis, the latter two categories were combined into one. The frequency of the variables was calculated using SPSS for Windows version 19. Reported health problems were grouped into 'skin', 'neurological', 'digestive', 'mouth', 'respiratory', 'bone', and 'other' domains for statistical analysis. Analysis of pre- and post-test variables was carried out using t-test or one-way analysis of variance as appropriate.

Results

Participants reported a wide range of health problems. The most commonly reported were hair loss (87.2%), skin dryness (74.4%), dark circles under the eyes (70.4%), lethargy (73.1%), and abdominal 'gas' (74.0%). Eighty-four percent felt better after using oil pulling. The most dramatic benefits were recorded for hair loss (76.6%), pale face (72.9%), brittle nails (71.8%), skin allergy (71.1%), headache (82.8%), lethargy (81.4%), dizziness (80.7%), insomnia (80.6%), fatigue and tiredness (73.3%), abdominal gas (81.6%), stomach pain (75.0%), irritable bowel syndrome (71.2%), difficulty in digesting food (71.2%),

vomiting and nausea (70.9%), bad breath (94.0%), yellow teeth (86.8%), tooth pain (84.1%), sensitive teeth (77.8%), white spots inside mouth (72.0%), jaw pain (70.8%), asthma (75%), bone pain (77.2%), backache (75%), and joint pain (72%). When the results were combined into the seven major domains, benefits were greatest for 'neurological', followed by 'skin' and 'mouth'.

Almost identical numbers of participants did oil pulling for up to ten minutes (126/250; 50.4%) or for more than ten minutes (124/250; 49.6%). The great majority (224/250; 89.6%) performed it once a day. The majority (178/250; 71.2%) chose olive oil, 38 (15.2%) used sesame oil, and 34 (13.6%) used coconut oil.

Table (1)
t-test with respect to experimental & control groups

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Suffered with | Experimental & Control Groups | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|-------|---------|-----------------|
| Skin Related | Experimental | 250 | 0.195 | 0.128 | 7.473 | 130.978 | <0.001* |
| | Control | 102 | 0.025 | 0.215 | | | |
| Neurological Related | Experimental | 250 | 0.247 | 0.173 | 7.954 | 143.123 | <0.001* |
| | Control | 102 | 0.034 | 0.247 | | | |
| Digestive Related | Experimental | 250 | 0.101 | 0.099 | 4.192 | 144.457 | <0.001* |
| | Control | 102 | 0.038 | 0.139 | | | |
| Mouth Related | Experimental | 250 | 0.184 | 0.176 | 7.191 | 350 | <0.000* |
| | Control | 102 | 0.028 | 0.201 | | | |
| Respiratory Related | Experimental | 250 | 0.069 | 0.108 | -4.06 | 130.303 | 0.686 |
| | Control | 102 | 0.077 | 0.184 | | | |
| Bone Related | Experimental | 250 | 0.133 | 0.180 | 3.289 | 122.208 | <0.001* |
| | Control | 102 | 0.010 | 0.360 | | | |
| Other | Experimental | 250 | 0.006 | 0.039 | 0.331 | 117.099 | 0.741 |
| | Control | 102 | 0.002 | 0.090 | | | |

Note:

* shows significant difference at 0.001, i.e. $p < 0.001$

Table (1) shows the t-test comparison of the experimental and control groups for the different domains of health problems, all of which were statistically significant at the 0.001 level except for 'respiratory' and 'others'.

Table (2)
t-test with respect to number of minutes oil pulling was done in each of the sessions

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Number of minutes in each of the sessions | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| Skin Related | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.186 | 0.127 | -1.159 | 248 | 0.248 |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.204 | 0.128 | | | |
| Neurological Related | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.233 | 0.170 | -1.355 | 248 | 0.177 |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.262 | 0.175 | | | |
| Digestive Related | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.087 | 0.088 | -2.306 | 237.108 | 0.022* |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.116 | 0.108 | | | |
| Mouth Related | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.154 | 0.159 | -2.672 | 239.597 | 0.008** |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.213 | 0.189 | | | |
| Respiratory Related | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.061 | 0.095 | -1.169 | 234.600 | 0.244 |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.077 | 0.119 | | | |
| Bone Related | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.119 | 0.163 | -1.232 | 238.918 | 0.219 |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.147 | 0.196 | | | |
| Other | Up to 10 mins | 126 | 0.004 | 0.045 | -0.622 | 248 | 0.535 |
| | > 10 mins | 124 | 0.007 | 0.033 | | | |

Note:

* Shows Significant Difference at 0.05, i.e. $p < 0.05$

** Shows Significant Difference at 0.01, i.e. $p < 0.01$

Using oil pulling for more than 10 minutes produced significantly greater benefit for 'digestive' and 'mouth related' problems but not for the other categories Table (2).

Table (3)
***t*-test with respect to number of times oil pulling was done in each day**

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Number of Times | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|
| Skin Related | Once | 224 | 0.195 | 0.127 | -0.129 | 248 | 0.897 |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.198 | 0.135 | | | |
| Neurological Related | Once | 224 | 0.236 | 0.164 | -2.475 | 28.440 | 0.020* |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.344 | 0.216 | | | |
| Digestive Related | Once | 224 | 0.095 | 0.097 | -3.072 | 248 | 0.002** |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.157 | 0.104 | | | |
| Mouth Related | Once | 224 | 0.171 | 0.170 | -3.454 | 248 | 0.001*** |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.294 | 0.192 | | | |
| Respiratory Related | Once | 224 | 0.065 | 0.105 | -1.408 | 29.154 | 0.170 |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.102 | 0.126 | | | |
| Bone Related | Once | 224 | 0.131 | 0.179 | -0.478 | 248 | 0.633 |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.149 | 0.194 | | | |
| Other | Once | 224 | 0.006 | 0.041 | 0.095 | 248 | 0.924 |
| | Twice | 26 | 0.005 | 0.025 | | | |

Note:

* Shows Significant Difference at 0.05, i.e. $p < 0.05$

** Shows Significant Difference at 0.01, i.e. $p < 0.01$

*** Shows Significant Difference at 0.001, i.e. $p < 0.001$

Doing oil pulling twice a day was significantly better than doing it once a day for 'neurological', 'digestive', and 'mouth' problems Table (3).

Table (4)
one-way ANOVA with respect to number of weeks oil pulling was done

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Number of weeks oil pulling was done | N | Mean | SD | Sig. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----|-------|-------|-----------|
| Skin Related | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.162 | 0.132 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.203 | 0.107 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.234 | 0.139 | 0.002* |
| Neurological Related | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.206 | 0.171 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.258 | 0.161 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.295 | 0.180 | 0.005* |
| Digestive Related | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.087 | 0.098 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.100 | 0.091 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.125 | 0.109 | .069 |
| Mouth Related | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.143 | 0.147 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.173 | 0.177 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.263 | 0.193 | < 0.001** |
| Respiratory Related | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.070 | 0.111 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.059 | 0.098 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.084 | 0.117 | 0.347 |
| Bone Related | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.139 | 0.186 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.117 | 0.165 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.148 | 0.196 | 0.536 |
| Other | Up to 2 weeks | 95 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | 3-4 weeks | 94 | 0.005 | 0.025 | |
| | More than 4 weeks | 61 | 0.014 | 0.073 | 0.083 |

* Significant Difference at 0.005, i.e. $p.005$;

** Significant Difference at 0.001, i.e. $p.001$

For most categories, the benefit achieved correlated with the

number of weeks for which oil pulling was carried out, but significantly so only for 'skin', 'neurological', and 'mouth' problems Table (4).

Table (5)
one-way ANOVA with respect to type of oil used

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Type of oil used | N | Mean | SD | Sig. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| Skin Related | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.212 | 0.170 | 0.582 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.195 | 0.115 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.180 | 0.141 | |
| Neurological Related | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.297 | 0.198 | 0.160 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.236 | 0.169 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.255 | 0.161 | |
| Digestive Related | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.117 | 0.115 | 0.065 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.105 | 0.101 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.068 | 0.062 | |
| Mouth Related | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.215 | 0.191 | 0.063 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.190 | 0.177 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.125 | 0.147 | |
| Respiratory Related | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.109 | 0.164 | 0.063 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.064 | 0.098 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.058 | 0.083 | |
| Bone Related | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.140 | 0.226 | 0.733 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.136 | 0.178 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.112 | 0.145 | |
| Other | Coconut oil | 34 | 0.004 | 0.021 | 0.582 |
| | Olive oil | 178 | 0.007 | 0.045 | |
| | Sesame oil | 38 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |

No type of oil used was significantly better Table (5).

Table (6)
***t*-test with respect to amalgam filling**

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Amalgam Filling in the Teeth | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|----|-----|-------|-------|---------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|----|-----|-------|-------|---------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|----|-----|-------|-------|---------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|--------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| Skin Related | Yes | 123 | 0.195 | 0.116 | -0.035 | 248 | 0.972 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.195 | 0.138 | | | | Neurological Related | Yes | 123 | 0.254 | 0.170 | 0.618 | 248 | 0.537 | No | 127 | 0.241 | 0.175 | Digestive Related | Yes | 123 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 0.013 | 248 | 0.990 | No | 127 | 0.101 | 0.098 | Mouth Related | Yes | 123 | 0.214 | 0.190 | 2.693 | 237.105 | 0.008* | No | 127 | 0.154 | 0.158 | Respiratory Related | Yes | 123 | 0.078 | 0.113 | 1.337 | 248 | 0.182 | No | 127 | 0.060 | 0.103 | Bone Related | Yes | 123 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.274 | 248 | 0.785 | No | 127 | 0.130 | 0.192 | Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 |
| Neurological Related | Yes | 123 | 0.254 | 0.170 | 0.618 | 248 | 0.537 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.241 | 0.175 | | | | Digestive Related | Yes | 123 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 0.013 | 248 | 0.990 | No | 127 | 0.101 | 0.098 | Mouth Related | Yes | 123 | 0.214 | 0.190 | 2.693 | 237.105 | 0.008* | No | 127 | 0.154 | 0.158 | Respiratory Related | Yes | 123 | 0.078 | 0.113 | 1.337 | 248 | 0.182 | No | 127 | 0.060 | 0.103 | Bone Related | Yes | 123 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.274 | 248 | 0.785 | No | 127 | 0.130 | 0.192 | Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 | No | 127 | 0.004 | 0.022 | | | | | | | | |
| Digestive Related | Yes | 123 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 0.013 | 248 | 0.990 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.101 | 0.098 | | | | Mouth Related | Yes | 123 | 0.214 | 0.190 | 2.693 | 237.105 | 0.008* | No | 127 | 0.154 | 0.158 | Respiratory Related | Yes | 123 | 0.078 | 0.113 | 1.337 | 248 | 0.182 | No | 127 | 0.060 | 0.103 | Bone Related | Yes | 123 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.274 | 248 | 0.785 | No | 127 | 0.130 | 0.192 | Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 | No | 127 | 0.004 | 0.022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mouth Related | Yes | 123 | 0.214 | 0.190 | 2.693 | 237.105 | 0.008* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.154 | 0.158 | | | | Respiratory Related | Yes | 123 | 0.078 | 0.113 | 1.337 | 248 | 0.182 | No | 127 | 0.060 | 0.103 | Bone Related | Yes | 123 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.274 | 248 | 0.785 | No | 127 | 0.130 | 0.192 | Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 | No | 127 | 0.004 | 0.022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Respiratory Related | Yes | 123 | 0.078 | 0.113 | 1.337 | 248 | 0.182 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.060 | 0.103 | | | | Bone Related | Yes | 123 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.274 | 248 | 0.785 | No | 127 | 0.130 | 0.192 | Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 | No | 127 | 0.004 | 0.022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bone Related | Yes | 123 | 0.136 | 0.168 | 0.274 | 248 | 0.785 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.130 | 0.192 | | | | Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 | No | 127 | 0.004 | 0.022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | Yes | 123 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.639 | 248 | 0.523 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | 127 | 0.004 | 0.022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note:

* shows significant difference at 0.01, i.e. $p < 0.01$

Students with amalgam fillings benefited significantly more for 'mouth related' problems Table (6), as did those with ceramic fillings, who also benefited in 'digestive' problems Table (7).

Table (7)
***t*-test with respect to ceramic filling**

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Amalgam Filling in the Teeth | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|-------|-----|-----------------|
| Skin Related | Yes | 140 | 0.209 | 0.128 | 1.904 | 248 | 0.058 |
| | No | 110 | 0.178 | 0.126 | | | |

cont/ Table (7)
t-test with respect to ceramic filling

| Pre-test minus Post-test for Various Problems Students Suffered with | Amalgam Filling in the Teeth | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|-------|---------|-----------------|
| Neurological Related | Yes | 140 | 0.264 | 0.168 | 1.772 | 248 | 0.078 |
| | No | 110 | 0.225 | 0.177 | | | |
| Digestive Related | Yes | 140 | 0.116 | 0.099 | 2.628 | 248 | 0.009* |
| | No | 110 | 0.083 | 0.097 | | | |
| Mouth Related | Yes | 140 | 0.215 | 0.184 | 3.255 | 245.666 | 0.001** |
| | No | 110 | 0.144 | 0.159 | | | |
| Respiratory Related | Yes | 140 | 0.077 | 0.106 | 1.308 | 248 | 0.192 |
| | No | 110 | 0.059 | 0.110 | | | |
| Bone Related | Yes | 140 | 0.138 | 0.170 | 0.532 | 248 | 0.595 |
| | No | 110 | 0.126 | 0.193 | | | |
| Other Related | Yes | 140 | 0.006 | 0.031 | 0.340 | 248 | 0.734 |
| | No | 110 | 0.005 | 0.048 | | | |

Note:

* Shows significant difference at 0.01, i.e. $p < 0.01$;

** Shows Significant Difference at 0.001, i.e. $p < 0.001$

Though it appeared to confer considerable benefits, the method also seemed to produce undesirable effects in many users, with 182/250 (72.8%) reporting at least one such effect. Headache was reported by 78 (31.2%), vomiting by 63 (25.2%), stomach ache by 36 (14.4%), jaw pain by 26 (10.4%), and other symptoms by 75 (30%). However, for 150 (82.9%) of the participants, these effects disappeared after a few days. Nonetheless, this may partly account for the relatively high dropout rate, with 95 (38%) carrying out the procedure for two weeks or less, and only 24.4% persisting for more than four weeks.

Discussion

As in the *Andhra Jyotisurvey* cited by Fife (2008; pp.90-91),

respondents reported a wide variety of symptoms and conditions which were improved by oil pulling. Again as in that survey, many of the symptoms and conditions reported were rather non-specific, can show considerable temporal variation, and were not verified clinically.

However, the high number of trial respondents reporting benefits and the significantly higher numbers of those in the experimental group than in the control group doing so in most of the categories is at least suggestive.

The evidence in this study is strongest for 'mouth related' problems, especially in those using oil pulling twice a day for more than four weeks, and in those with fillings. As mentioned in the introduction, periodontitis has been associated with a number of systemic diseases. It is possible that at least some of the instances of bleeding gum (84/250; 33.6%) and gum inflammation (80/250; 32.0%) recorded in the study were incipient periodontal disease. If so, the high rate of benefit accorded to oil pulling of 81.0% and 82.5% respectively may warrant further investigation, since an ability of oil pulling to lessen oral infection may also lessen the likelihood of several systemic diseases (Li et al, 2000).

In summary, it appears that good oral hygiene is important not only for good oral health but also for good health in general. Much more study needs to be done before oil pulling can be suggested as an alternative to, or replacement for, present methods of maintaining oral hygiene, but it may be a useful adjunct or alternative in those willing and able to persist with it. This could apply particularly to India, where the practice has a very long tradition.

References

- Akshata, K.R., Ranganath, V. And Nichani, A.S. (2012). “Thesis, antithesis, and synthesis in periodontal and systemic interlink”, *Journal of the Indian Society for Periodontology*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 168-173.
- Amith, H.V., Ankola, A.V. and Nagesh, L. (2007). “Effect of oil pulling on plaque and gingivitis”, *Journal of Oral Health & Community Dentistry*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 12-18.
- Arbes, S.J., Sever, M.L., Vaughn, B, Cohen, E.A. and Zeldin, D.C. (2006). “Oral pathogens and allergic diseases: Results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey”, *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, Vol. 118, No. 5, pp. 1169-1175.
- Asokan, S., Emmadi, P. and Chamundeswari, R. (2009). “Effects of oil pulling on plaque induced gingivitis: A randomized, controlled, triple-blind study”, *Indian Journal of Dental Research*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 47-51.
- Asokan, S., Kumar, R.S., Emmadi, P., Raghuraman, R. and Sivakumar, N. (2011a). “Effect of oil pulling on halitosis and microorganisms causing halitosis: A randomized controlled pilot trial”, *Journal of Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 90-94.
- Asokan, S., Rathinasamy, T.K., Inbamani, N., Menon, T., Kumar, S.S., Emmade, P. and Raghuraman, R. (2011b). “Mechanism of oil-pulling therapy - *In vitro* study”, *Indian Journal of Dental Research*, Vol. 22, No.1, pp. 34-37.
- Azarpazhooh, A. and Leake, J.L. (2006). “Systematic review of the association between respiratory diseases and oral health”, *Journal of Periodontology*”, Vol. 77, No. 9, pp. 1465-1482.
- Cicciù, M., Risitano, G., Lo Giudice, G. and Bramanti, E. (2012). “Periodontal health and caries prevalence evaluation in patients affected by Parkinson’s disease “, *Parkinsons Disease*, Vol. 2012, Article ID 541908.

- Cullinan, M.P. and Seymour, G.J. (2013). "Periodontal disease and systemic illness: will the evidence ever be enough?", *Periodontology 2000*, Vol.62, No. 1, pp. 271-286.
- D'Ainto, F., Sabbah, W., Netuveli, G., Donos, N, Hingorani, A.D., Deanfield, J. and Tsakos, G. (2008). "Association of the metabolic syndrome with severe periodontitis in a large US population-based survey", *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, Vol. 93, No. 10, pp. 3989-3994.
- DuraiAnand, T., Pothiraj, C., Gopinath, R.M. and Kayalvizhi, V. (2008). "Effect of oil pulling on dental caries causing bacteria", *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, Vol.2, pp. 63-66.
- Fife, B. (2008). *Oil pulling therapy: detoxifying and healing the body through oral cleansing*, Piccadilly Books, Colorado Springs, CO.
- Guiglia, R., De-Fede, O., Lo-Russo, L. Sprini, D., Rini, G.B. and Campisi, G. (2013). "Osteoporosis, jawbones and periodontal disease", *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y CirugiaBucal*, Vol. 18, No.1, pp. e93-e99.
- Han, Y.W. and Wang, X. (2013). "Mobile microbiome: oral bacteria in extra-oral infections and inflammation", *Journal of Dental Research*, Vol. 92, No. 6, pp. 485-491.
- Hannig, C., Kirsch, J., Al-Ahmad, A., Kensche, A., Hannig, M. and Kümmerer, K. (2012). "Do edible oils reduce bacterial colonization of enamel in situ?", *Clinical Oral Investigations*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 649-658.
- Humphrey, L.L., Buckley, D.I., Freeman, M. and Helfand, M. (2008). "Periodontal disease and coronary heart disease incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis", *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, Vol. 23, No. 12, pp. 2079-2086.
- Jeffcoat, M.K., Hauth, J.C., Geurs, N.C., Reddy, M.S., Cliver, S.P., Hodgkins, P.M. and Goldenberg, R.L. (2003). "Periodontal disease and preterm birth: results of a pilot intervention study", *Journal of Periodontology*, Vol. 74, No. 8, pp. 1214-1218.
- Kamer, A.R., Craig, R.G., Dasanayake, A.P., Brys, M., Glodzik-Sobanska, L. and de Leon, M.J. (2008). "Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease: Possible role of periodontal diseases", *Alzhei-*

mer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association, Vol.4, No. 4, pp. 242-250.

- Lalla, E. and Papapanou, P.N. (2011). "Diabetes mellitus and periodontitis: a tale of two common interrelated diseases", *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* Vol.7, pp. 738-748.
- LI, X., Kolltveit, K.M., Tronstad, L. and Olsen, I. (2000). "Systemic diseases caused by oral infection", *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, Vol. 113, No. 4, pp. 547-558.
- Linden, G.J., Lyons, A. and Scannapieco, F.A. (2013). "Periodontal systemic associations: review of the evidence", *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, Vol. 40, No. s14, pp. S8-S19.
- Ogrendik, M. (2009). "Rheumatoid arthritis is linked to oral bacteria: etiological association", *Modern Rheumatology*, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 453-456.
- Okuda, K. and Ebihama, Y. (1998). "Review Relationships between chronic oral infectious diseases and systemic diseases", *Bulletin of Tokyo Dental College*, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 165-174.
- Otomo-Corgel, J., Pucher, J.J., Rethman, M.P. and Reynolds, M.A. (2012). "State of the science: chronic periodontitis and systemic health", *Journal of Evidence Based Dental Practice*, Vol. 12, No. 3 Suppl., pp. 20-28.
- Papadopoulos, N.G., Christodoulou, I., Rohde, G., Agache, I., Almqvist, C., Bruno, A., Bonini, S., Bont, L., Bossios, A., Bousquet, J., Braido, F., Brusselle, G., Canonica, G.W., Carlsen, K.H., Chanez, P., Fokkens, W.J., Garcia-Garcia, M., Gjomarkaj, M., Haahtela, T., Holgate, S.T., Johnston, S.L., Konstantinou, G., Kowalski, M., Lewandowska-Polak, A., Lødrup-Carlsen, K., Makela, M., Malkusova, I., Mullol, J., Nieto, A., Eller, E., Ozdemir, C., Panzner, P., Popov, T., Psarras, S., Roumpedaki, E., Rukhadze, M., Stipic-Markovic, A., TodoBom, A., Toskala, E., van Cauwenberge, P., van Drunen, C., Watelet, J.B., Xatzipsalti, M., Xepapadaki, P. and Zuberbier, T. (2011). "Viruses and bacteria in acute asthma exacerbations - a GA²LEN-DARE systematic review", *Allergy*, Vol. 66, No.4, pp. 458-468.
- Pradhan, S. and Goel, K. (2011). "Interrelationships between

- diabetes and periodontitis: a review”, *Journal of Nepal Medical Association*, Vol. 51, No. 183, pp. 144-153.
- Schenkein, H.A. and Loos, B.G. (2013). “Inflammatory mechanisms linking periodontal diseases to cardiovascular diseases”, *Journal of Periodontology*, Vol. 84, No. 4 Suppl., pp. S51-69.
 - Sheu, J.J. and Lin, H.C. (2013). “Association between multiple sclerosis and chronic periodontitis: a population-based pilot study”, *European Journal of Neurology*, Vol. 20, No. 7, pp.1053-1059.
 - Singh, A. and Purohit, B. (2011). “Tooth brushing, oil pulling and tissue regeneration: a review of holistic approaches to oral health”, *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 64-68.
 - Slots, J. (1998). “Casual or causal relationship between periodontal infection and non-oral disease?”, *Journal of Dental Research*, Vol. 77, No. 10, pp. 1764-1765.
 - Surathu, N. and Kurumathur, A.V. (2011). “Traditional therapies in the management of periodontal disease in India and China”, *Periodontology 2000*, Vol. 56, No. 1, pp. 14-24.
 - Thaweboon, S., Nakaparksin, J. and Thaweboon, B. (2011). “Effect of oil-pulling on oral microorganisms in biofilm models”, *Asia Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 62-66.
 - Thoden van Velzen, S.K., Abraham-Inpiju, L. and Moorer, W.R. (1984). “Review Plaque with systemic disease: A reappraisal of the focal infection concept”, *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 209-220.
 - van Dyke, T.E. and van Winkelhoff, A.J. (2013). “Infection and inflammatory mechanisms”, *Journal of Periodontology*, Vol. 84, No. 4 Suppl., pp. S 1-7.

